Particle models motivated by WIMP indirect search data

Osamu Seto (Hokkai-Gakuen Univ.)

Refs : Phys. Rev. D **89** 043525 (2014) Phys. Rev. D **90** 083523 (2014) With: Nobuchika Okada (U. of Alabama) in prep.

With: Arindam Das and Nobuchika Okada (U. of Alabama)

Contents

- Dark matter
- WIMP indirect detection (current status)
- Galactic center (GC) gamma ray excess
- GC excess from two Higgs doublet portal DM
- Summary

§ Dark matter

• Dark matter: convincing evidences



§ Dark matter candidates



Those may co-exist, if stability reasons are different.

Thermal relic abundance of WIMP

Under ``standard'' cosmology

• Annihilation and thermal relic density



DM (WIMP) detection

Scattered WIMP

- Direct detection of WIMP
- WIMP would scatter off with a nuclei.





Recoiling nucleus

• WIMP annihilation (and non-WIMP DM decay) produces the extra component in cosmic rays.

§ DM indirect detection

- WIMP (non-WIMP) would self-annihilate (decay) today.
- Annihilation/decay final states produce an extra component in cosmic rays.



§ § DM indirect detection

• Annihilation/decay final states produce an extra component in cosmic rays.

• e.g.,

photon (diffuse or line)Fermi-LAT, ...neutrinoIceCubeelectron / positronPAMELA, AMS-02other anti-particlesPAMELA, AMS-02

• Those would be observed as an excess over astrophysical background*.

Q``Do we know astrophysical part???''

§ § WIMP indirect detection

- photon (diffuse or line) or neutrino
- Flux

$$\Phi_{i}(\psi, E) = \sigma v \frac{dN_{i}}{dE} \frac{1}{4\pi m_{\rm DM}^{2}} \int_{\rm line \ of \ sight} ds \rho^{2} \left(r(s, \psi) \right)$$

Cross section Spectrum number density²

Astrophysical part

$$J\left(\psi\right) = \frac{1}{8.5\,\mathrm{kpc}} \left(\frac{1}{0.3\,\mathrm{GeV/cm^3}}\right)^2 \int_{\mathrm{line \ of \ sight}} d\,s\rho^2\left(r(s,\psi)\right)$$

would come from the galactic center, dwarf galaxies.

§ § WIMP indirect detection

- Charged particle (e-, e+, antiproton etc.)
- Propagation equation



Diffusion Energy loss Spectrum Source term

• The results strongly depend on propagation (diffusion) model and DM density profiles

- photon (diffuse or line)
- diffuse



- photon (diffuse or line)
- Line signal(?)

130 GeV @ Fermi-LAT [claimed 2012 and denied 2013]

511 keV @ INTEGRAL [2003~]

sterile neutrino, Q-ball,...

3.5 keV @ XMM-NEWTON [2014~]



sterile neutrino, axion-like particle, gravitino or axino with RpV, ...

• neutrino

a few PeV events @ IceCube [2013~]



DM annihilation is not available (too small flux) RH neutrino decay, Heavy A decay, ... GRB, AGN, ...

• electron / positron

positron excess @ PAMELA [2008~] and AMS-02



- DM annihilation interpretation is hardly consistent.
- DM decay is viable but getting constrained too [1501.05932] Whole astrophysical (secondary, pulsar) interpretation (1402.0321)

• anti-particles (anti-proton)



A Strange feature: rise of helium too.

Being consistent with astrophysical (1504.04276, 1504.04604)

§ Galactic center (GC) gamma ray excess

§ Galactic center (GC) gamma ray excess

• Photons from GC [Hooper and Goodenough (2010)]

. . .

between 1.25° and 10° from the Galactic Center is well described by a the processes of decaying pions produced in cosmic ray collisions with gas, and the inverse Compton scattering of cosmic ray electrons in both the disk and bulge of the Inner Galaxy, along with gamma rays from known points sources in the region. The observed spectrum and morphology of the emission within approximately 1.25° (~ 175 parsecs) of the Galactic Center, in contrast, departs from the expectations for by these

which peaks at energies between 1-4 GeV (in E^2 units), can be well fit by a 7-10 GeV dark matter particle annihilating primarily to tau leptons with a cross section in the range of $\langle \sigma v \rangle = 4.6 \times 10^{-27}$ to 5.3×10^{-26} cm³/s, depending on how the dark matter distribution is normalized. We also discuss

§ Galactic center (GC) gamma

ray excess



Good fit with?

Analysis papers say

- GC [Goodenough and Hooper (2010)] 7-10 GeV $\tau \tau$; (0.46 to 5.3)×10^-26 cm^3/s
- GC [Hooper and Linden (2011)]
 25-45GeV b b-bar or 7-12 GeV τ τ;
 ~ (0.6~0.7)×10^-26 cm^3/s
- GC [Abazajian and Kaplinghat (2012)] 10GeV-1TeV b b-bar or 10-30 GeV $\tau \tau$

§ § Galactic Center excess



§ GC excess from two Higgs doublet portal DM

§ Higgs portal dark matter

McDonald (1994), Burgess et al, ...

$$\mathcal{L}_{S} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^{2} - \frac{M_{S}^{2}}{2} \phi^{2} - \frac{c_{S}}{2} |H|^{2} \phi^{2} - \frac{d_{S}}{4!} \phi^{4}$$

$$\stackrel{DM}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{Hi}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{Mi}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{$$

§ § How to realize desired DM mass and annihilation modes

- Two Higgs doublet model
- Extra Higgs bosons (mH~2md) contribution



§ § How to realize desired DM mass and annihilation modes

Those DM properties are determined by mediator!Model

$$V = -\mu_{1}^{2}|\Phi_{1}|^{2} - \mu_{2}^{2}|\Phi_{2}|^{2} - (\mu_{12}^{2}\Phi_{1}^{\dagger}\Phi_{2} + h.c.) + \lambda_{1}|\Phi_{1}|^{4} + \lambda_{2}|\Phi_{2}|^{4} + \lambda_{3}|\Phi_{1}|^{2}|\Phi_{2}|^{2} + \lambda_{4}|\Phi_{1}^{\dagger}\Phi_{2}|^{2} + \left\{\frac{\lambda_{5}}{2}(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger}\Phi_{2})^{2} + h.c.\right\} + \frac{1}{2}\mu_{\phi}^{2}\phi^{2} + \lambda_{\eta}\phi^{4} + (\sigma_{1}|\Phi_{1}|^{2} + \sigma_{2}|\Phi_{2}|^{2})\frac{\phi^{2}}{2}.$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}h_{1}\\h_{2}\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\cos\alpha - \sin\alpha\\\sin\alpha & \cos\alpha\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}H\\h\end{pmatrix} & \text{Type I} & \text{Type II} & \text{U}\\ \psi_{2} & \text{d} & \text{e} & \text{d} & \text{e} & \text{d} & \text{$$

§ § $\tau + \tau$ - annihilation case possible?

- <u>~10 GeV</u> DM can kinematically annihilate into b-quark
- If large coupling to τ
- Favored σv is several
 times smaller than the canonical...
- If near resonance, significant p-wave, co-annihilation...

§ § $\tau + \tau$ - annihilation case possible?

- <u>~10 GeV</u> DM can kinematically annihilate into b-quark
- If large coupling to τ
- Favored σv is several
 times smaller than the canonical...

• If near resonance

... another Higgs boson

in THDM

Type-X (aka. lepton specific)

§ § τ+τ- annihilating DM : Type-X two Higgs portal DM

Phys. Rev. D 89 043525 (2014)

§ § τ+τ- annihilation case possible? : Type-X two Higgs portal DM

- studied for v-mass [Aoki et al (2009)] and CDMS [Aoki et al (2010)]
- Higgs coupling $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma} \supset -\frac{\sigma_{1} \cos \alpha \cos \beta + \sigma_{2} \sin \alpha \sin \beta}{2} vH\phi^{2}$ $-\frac{-\sigma_{1} \sin \alpha \cos \beta + \sigma_{2} \cos \alpha \sin \beta}{2} vh\phi^{2}$
- Amplitude $\overline{|\mathcal{M}(\phi\phi \to \tau\bar{\tau})|^2} = \left| \frac{(-\sigma_1 \sin\alpha \cos\beta + \sigma_2 \cos\alpha \sin\beta) \sin\alpha}{s - m_h^2 + im_h\Gamma_h} \frac{\sin\alpha}{\cos\beta} - \frac{(\sigma_1 \cos\alpha \cos\beta + \sigma_2 \sin\alpha \sin\beta) \cos\alpha}{s - m_H^2 + im_H\Gamma_H} \frac{\cos\alpha}{\cos\beta} \right|^2 \times m_{\tau}^2 (s - 4m_{\tau}^2). \tag{A9}$

§ § τ+τ- annihilation case possible? : Type-X two Higgs portal DM

• Invisible decay Br. of SM-like Higgs



§ § $\tau + \tau$ - annihilation case possible?

- : Type-X two Higgs portal DM
- DM calculation for 10 GeV DM
- (0.5~0.6)×10^-26 cm^3/s
- XENON100 and 1T

An example ; $\sigma_2 = 0.012$ \uparrow Br(h \rightarrow inv) $\approx 10\%$



§ § $\tau + \tau$ - annihilation case possible?

- : Type-X two Higgs portal DM
- DM calculation for 10 GeV DM
- (0.5~0.6)×10^-26 cm^3/s
- XENON100 and 1T

An example ; $\sigma_2 = 0.0$ \uparrow Br(h \rightarrow inv) \approx negligible



§ § b b-bar annihilating DM : Type-II two Higgs portal DM Phys. Rev. D 90 083523 (2014)

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 90, 083523 (2014)

Galactic Center gamma ray excess from two Higgs doublet portal dark matter

Nobuchika Okada*

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama 35487, USA

Osamu Seto[†]

Department of Life Science and Technology, Hokkai-Gakuen University, Sapporo 062-8605, Japan (Received 19 August 2014; published 21 October 2014)

We consider a simple extension of the type-II two-Higgs-doublet model by introducing a real scalar as a candidate for dark matter in the present Universe. The main annihilation mode of the dark matter particle

§ § b b-bar annihilating DM

- : Type-II two Higgs portal DM
- Model
- Scalar potential is same
- Yukawa couplings

$$\mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\text{Quarks}} \supset \frac{m_{u^{i}} \sin \alpha}{v \sin \beta} H \bar{u}^{i} u^{i} + \frac{m_{u^{i}} \cos \alpha}{v \sin \beta} h \bar{u}^{i} u^{i} + \frac{m_{d^{i}} \cos \alpha}{v \cos \beta} H \bar{d}^{i} d^{i} - \frac{m_{d^{i}} \sin \alpha}{v \cos \beta} h \bar{d}^{i} d^{i},$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\text{Leptons}} \supset \frac{m_{\ell^{i}}}{v \cos \beta} H \bar{\ell}^{i} \ell^{i} - \frac{m_{\ell^{i}}}{v \cos \beta} h \bar{\ell}^{i} \ell^{i}.$$



• Invisible decay Br. of SM-like Higgs



§ § b b-bar annihilating DM

- : Type-II two Higgs portal DM
- 40GeV DM, $\sigma_2 = 0.02 \Leftrightarrow Br(h \rightarrow inv) \approx 20\%$
- LUX and XENON1T



§ § b b-bar annihilating DM

- : Type-II two Higgs portal DM
- 40GeV DM, $\sigma_2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow Br(h \rightarrow inv) \approx negligible$
- LUX and XENON1T



- **§ b** b-bar annihilating DM
- : Type-II two Higgs portal DM
- $\sigma_2 = 0.02 \Leftrightarrow Br(h \rightarrow inv) \approx 20\%$ available @ large tan β
- $\sigma_2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow Br(h \rightarrow inv) \approx negligible$ available @ small tan β
- Correlation between $Br(h \rightarrow inv)$ and $tan\beta$

§ § b b-bar annihilating DM : Type-II two Higgs portal DM: Sequel ``H → ττ constraint is stringent'' by Tsumura

Published for SISSA by 2 Springer

RECEIVED: September 23, 2014 ACCEPTED: October 24, 2014 PUBLISHED: November 12, 2014

Search for neutral Higgs bosons of the minimal supersymmetric standard model in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector

§ § b b-bar annihilating DM : Type-II two Higgs portal DM: Sequel ``H → ττ constraint is stringent'' by Tsumura

50 ATLAS √s=8 TeV, L dt = 19.5 - 20.3 fb⁻¹ MSSM m_h^{max} scenario, $M_{SUSY} = 1 \text{ TeV}, h/H/A \rightarrow \tau \tau$ 60 Obs 95% CL limit 40 Р Exp 95% CL limit 50 95% CL limit 40 30 tanβ 30 20 20 10 100 200 300 400 500 600 700 800 900 1000 1.1 10 m₄ [GeV] 066 0.06Search for neutral Higgs bosons 11 0 supersymmetric standard model 4050 60 70 80 90 100 $m_H(\text{GeV})$ $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector low tanβ? only

§ § b b-bar annihilating DM : Type-Y two Higgs portal DM in prep.

§ § b b-bar annihilating DM

- : Type-Y two Higgs portal DM
- DM and SM Higgs constraints and prospects



LUX and XENON1T Ωh^2

indirect in 10^{-26} cm³/s SM Higgs invisible

§ § b b-bar annihilating DM: Type-Y two Higgs portal DM

• DM and SM Higgs constraints and prospects



§ § b b-bar annihilating DM: Type-Y two Higgs portal DM

 Extra Higgs boson H discovery prospects @ ILC H production
 SM background



§ § b b-bar annihilating DM

- : Type-Y two Higgs portal DM
- Extra Higgs boson H discovery prospects @ ILC
- Event count for $tan\beta=20$
- $\sqrt{s} = 250 \text{ GeV}, \ \mathcal{L} = 500/\text{fb}$



§ § b b-bar annihilating DM

- : Type-Y two Higgs portal DM
- Extra Higgs boson H discovery prospects @ ILC
- Significance

		$m_h(\text{GeV})$	S
	Case-a	61.6	4.4 - σ
$ m_{jj/bb} - m_h \le 10$) GeV	70	3.4 - σ
		80	2.5 - σ
	Case-b	61.6	5.8 - σ
$ m_{ii/bb} - m_h \le 5$	GeV	70	4.4 - σ
		80	3.3 - σ

§ Summary

- Extra component in gamma ray flux from GC may be explained by Higgs portal scalar dark matter.
- Specific particle models have been proposed
- 1. Type-X THDM + 10 GeV DM ($\tau\tau$)
- 2. Type-II THDM + (30~40) GeV DM (bb)
- 3. Type-Y THDM + (30~40) GeV DM (bb)

 $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$ constraint