

• Kodaira - Spencer equation in Tian's form

⊥

A : holom. vector valued $(0, 1)$ -form

$$\bar{\partial} A + \frac{1}{2} [A, A] = 0 \quad (\text{Kodaira-Spencer eq.})$$

$$A = A^I_{\bar{J}} (\partial_I \otimes d\bar{z}^{\bar{J}})$$

$$\bar{\partial} A = \bar{\partial}_{\bar{K}} A^I_{\bar{J}} (\partial_I \otimes d\bar{z}^{\bar{K}} \wedge d\bar{z}^{\bar{J}})$$

$$\begin{aligned} [A, A] &= [A^I_{\bar{J}} (\partial_I \otimes d\bar{z}^{\bar{J}}), A^L_{\bar{K}} (\partial_L \otimes d\bar{z}^{\bar{K}})] \\ &= [A^I_{\bar{J}} \partial_I, A^L_{\bar{K}} \partial_L] \otimes d\bar{z}^{\bar{J}} \wedge d\bar{z}^{\bar{K}} \\ &= 2 (A^I_{\bar{J}} \partial_I A^L_{\bar{K}}) \partial_L \otimes d\bar{z}^{\bar{J}} \wedge d\bar{z}^{\bar{K}} \end{aligned}$$

f is holomorphic $\iff (\bar{\partial} + A^I \partial_I) f = 0$ \mathbb{L}^2

the integrability $(\bar{\partial} + A^I \partial_I)^2 = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}(\bar{\partial} + A^I \partial_I)(\bar{\partial} + A^J \partial_J) &= \bar{\partial}(A^J \partial_J) + (A^I \partial_I) \bar{\partial} + (A^I \partial_I)(A^J \partial_J) \\ &= (\bar{\partial} A^J) \partial_J + (A^I \partial_I A^J) \partial_J + (A^I A^J \partial_I \partial_J) \\ \therefore \bar{\partial} A^J + (A^I \partial_I A^J) &= 0 \quad // \quad (= 0)\end{aligned}$$

The linearized KS equation $\bar{\partial} A = 0$

The (infinitesimal) deformation is trivial, if it comes from a diffeomorphism $A \rightarrow A + \bar{\partial} \varepsilon \leftarrow$ vector field

Hence infinitesimal deformations are parametrized by $\bar{\partial}$ -cohomology.

Recall that we have an isom. $\vee : \Omega^{(0,p)}(\wedge^q TM) \longrightarrow \Omega^{(3-q,p)}(M)$

by $A^\vee = (A \cdot \Omega)$ • contraction of vector fields with differential form
 \uparrow $(3,0)$ -form

Let us rephrase the KS equation in terms of $A^\vee \in \Omega^{(2,1)}(M)$

It is convenient to impose a constraint. $\partial A^\vee = 0$

cf $b_0 \bar{\Psi} = 0$ string field theory

We also impose a gauge fixing

condition $\bar{\partial}^+ A^\vee = 0$ for the symmetry $A \rightarrow A + \bar{\partial} \varepsilon$

Lemma (Tian) $\partial A^\vee = \partial B^\vee = 0 \implies [A, B]^\vee = \partial (A \wedge B)^\vee$

cf BV $\{\alpha, \beta\} \propto \partial(\alpha \wedge \beta) - (\partial\alpha) \wedge \beta - \alpha \wedge (\partial\beta)$ //

In terms local coordinate B.V bracket is

4

$$\{A, B\} = \sum_I \frac{\partial A}{\partial \eta_I} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \bar{z}^I} + (-1)^{|A|} \frac{\partial A}{\partial \bar{z}^I} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \eta_I}$$

Assume $A = A^I_{\bar{J}} \eta_I d\bar{z}^{\bar{J}}$, $B = B^k_{\bar{L}} \eta_k d\bar{z}^{\bar{L}} \in PV^{1,1}(X)$

$$\begin{aligned} \{A, B\} &= A^I_{\bar{J}} d\bar{z}^{\bar{J}} \frac{\partial B^k_{\bar{L}}}{\partial \bar{z}^I} \eta_k d\bar{z}^{\bar{L}} + \frac{\partial A^k_{\bar{J}}}{\partial \bar{z}^I} \eta_k d\bar{z}^{\bar{J}} B^I_{\bar{L}} d\bar{z}^{\bar{L}} \\ &= \left(-A^I_{\bar{J}} \frac{\partial B^k_{\bar{L}}}{\partial \bar{z}^I} + B^I_{\bar{L}} \frac{\partial A^k_{\bar{J}}}{\partial \bar{z}^I} \right) \eta_k d\bar{z}^{\bar{J}} d\bar{z}^{\bar{L}} \\ &= - [A_{\bar{J}}, B_{\bar{L}}]^k \eta_k d\bar{z}^{\bar{J}} d\bar{z}^{\bar{L}} \quad // \end{aligned}$$

符号?

fundamental dynamical variable sign flip ($A \rightarrow -A$)

$$\bar{\partial} A + \frac{1}{2} [A, A] = 0 \rightarrow \bar{\partial} A - \frac{1}{2} [A, A] = 0$$

By the lemma we can rewrite the KS equation as follows; L5

$$\bar{\partial} A^\vee + \frac{1}{2} \partial (A \wedge A)^\vee = 0 \in \Omega^{(2,2)}(M)$$

$$A \wedge A \in \Omega^{(0,2)}(\wedge^2 TM), \quad (A \wedge A)^\vee \in \Omega^{(1,2)}(M) \quad //$$

Prop [Tian - Todorov]

Todorov CMP 126 (1989) 325.

Given $A_1 \in H^{(0,1)}(TM)$ with $\partial A_1^\vee = \bar{\partial}^\dagger A_1^\vee = 0$

there is a unique solution to the K-S equation in the form

$$A = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon^n A_n \quad \text{with} \quad \partial A_n^\vee = \bar{\partial}^\dagger A_n^\vee = 0$$

which is obtained by solving the K-S equation perturbatively in ε .

(The series has a finite radius of convergence.)

“Any infinitesimal deformation of complex str. is unobstructed”

Physically A_1^\vee is massless modes and A_n^\vee ($n > 1$) are massive $\perp 6$ modes

massive modes \sim linear combi. of eigenstates of Laplacian with positive eigenvalues

Let us look at how we can solve the KS eq. perturbatively.

$O(\epsilon^2)$ KS eq. in Tian's form is $\bar{\partial} A_2^\vee + \frac{1}{2} \partial (A_1 \wedge A_1)^\vee = 0$

we have a symmetry $A_2^\vee \rightarrow A_2^\vee + \bar{\partial} \mathcal{V}$

we fix the gauge sym. by imposing $\bar{\partial}^\dagger A_2^\vee = 0$ of Lorentz gauge.

then a unique solution is given by

$$A_2^\vee = -\bar{\partial}^\dagger \frac{1}{\Delta} \partial (A_1 \wedge A_1)^\vee$$

$$\text{where } \Delta = 2(\bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^\dagger + \bar{\partial}^\dagger \bar{\partial})$$

Remark $\frac{1}{\Delta}$ is well-defined due to $\text{Ker } \partial \subset \text{Ker } \Delta$ (?) \square

Let us check.

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\partial} A_2^\vee &= -(\bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^\dagger) \frac{1}{\Delta} \partial (A_1 \wedge A_1)^\vee \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \partial (A_1 \wedge A_1)^\vee + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\bar{\partial}^\dagger \bar{\partial}}{\Delta} \partial (A_1 \wedge A_1)^\vee\end{aligned}$$

$$\bar{\partial} \partial (A_1 \wedge A_1)^\vee = -\partial \bar{\partial} (A_1 \wedge A_1)^\vee = 0 \quad (\because \bar{\partial} \Omega = \bar{\partial} A_1 = 0)$$

$$\therefore \bar{\partial} A_2^\vee + \frac{1}{2} \partial (A_1 \wedge A_1)^\vee = 0$$

$$\partial A_2^\vee = \bar{\partial}^\dagger \frac{1}{\Delta} \partial^2 (A_1 \wedge A_1)^\vee = 0 \quad //$$

Remark On $\text{Ker } \bar{\partial} \cap \text{Im } \partial$

8

$$-\bar{\partial}^+ \frac{1}{\Delta} \partial = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\bar{\partial}^+}{\bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^+ + \bar{\partial}^+ \bar{\partial}} \partial = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\bar{\partial}} \partial$$

LHS is regarded as the propergator of the massive modes

$$\text{KS eq. in Tian's form} = A^V = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\bar{\partial}} \partial (A \wedge A)^V$$

Green's operator for KS-eq.

The next term of the KS eq. is $\bar{\partial} A_3^V + \partial (A_2 \wedge A_1)^V = 0$.

If the second term is in $\text{Ker } \bar{\partial}$, we can use the same propergator (Green's function) to solve A_3^V .

Let us check $\bar{\partial} \left(\partial (A_2 \wedge A_1)^\vee \right) = 0$ □⁹

$$\bar{\partial} \left(\partial (A_2 \wedge A_1)^\vee \right) = - \partial \left(\bar{\partial} A_2 \wedge A_1 \right)^\vee \quad (\because \bar{\partial} A_1 = 0)$$

$$= \partial \left(\frac{1}{2} [A_1, A_1] \wedge A_1 \right)^\vee \quad (\text{by KS-eg.})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left([[A_1, A_1], A_1] \right)^\vee \quad (\text{by Tian's lemma})$$

$$= 0 \quad \text{by Jacobi identity} \quad //$$

The n -th order equation is

$$\bar{\partial} A_n^\vee + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \partial (A_{n-i} \wedge A_i)^\vee = 0$$

We can check $\bar{\partial} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \partial (A_{n-i} \wedge A_i) \right)^\vee = 0$

We use $\partial([A, B] \wedge C)^\vee + (ABC, \text{cyclic}) = 0$. ◁ 10

which follows from the Jacobi identity

$$[[A, B], C] + (ABC, \text{cyclic}) = 0 \quad A, B, C \in PV^{1,1}(X)$$

and $[A, B] C)^\vee = \partial([A, B] \wedge C)^\vee$ $\partial A = \partial B = \partial C = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{\partial} \left(\partial (A_2 \wedge A_2)^\vee + 2 \partial (A_1 \wedge A_3)^\vee \right) \\ &= -2 \partial \left[(\bar{\partial} A_2 \wedge A_2)^\vee + (\bar{\partial} A_1 \wedge A_3)^\vee - (A_1 \wedge \bar{\partial} A_3)^\vee \right] \\ &= \partial \left[([A_1, A_1] \wedge A_2)^\vee - (A_1 \wedge [A_1, A_2])^\vee - (A_1 \wedge [A_2, A_1])^\vee \right] \\ &= 0 \quad // \end{aligned}$$

$$\bar{\partial} \left[\partial (A_1 \wedge A_4)^{\vee} + \partial (A_2 \wedge A_3)^{\vee} \right] \quad \llcorner$$

$$= - \partial \left[- (A_1 \wedge \frac{1}{2} [A_2, A_2])^{\vee} - (A_1 \wedge [A_1, A_3])^{\vee} + \frac{1}{2} ([A_1, A_1] \wedge A_3)^{\vee} + (A_2 \wedge [A_1, A_2])^{\vee} \right]$$

$$= 0$$

$$\bar{\partial} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \partial (A_{n-i} \wedge A_i) \right)^{\vee} \propto \partial \left(\sum_{i+j+k=n} ([A_i, A_j] \wedge A_k)^{\vee} \right)$$

$$= 0$$

$$n=5 \quad (i, j, k) = (1, 2, 2) \text{ cyclic perm}$$

$$(1, 1, 3) \text{ cyclic perm}$$