

D-branes, Matrix Theory and K-homology

Tsuguhiko Asakawa
(YITP, Kyoto Univ.)

October, 2001, at Komaba, Osaka and Kyoto
based on hep-th/0108085
in collaboration with S. Sugimoto and S. Terashima

§1 Introduction

Our purpose:

- 1) Constructing a matrix theory, in which the creation and annihilation process of D-branes is incorporated.
- (a) It is important to find a non-perturbative formulation of string theory \Rightarrow matrix theory.
- (b) The creation and annihilation process of non-BPS D-branes or D- \bar{D} pairs plays an important role \Rightarrow K-theory.
non-BPS D9-branes(IIA) and D9- $\bar{D}9$ system(IIB).
 \Rightarrow lower dim. D-branes are constructed from them.

However,

- (a) \Rightarrow the K-theory structure is not clear in the framework of existing matrix theories.
- (b) \Rightarrow since a 10-dim. gauge theory is non-renormalizable, it is hard to consider it as a fundamental theory.

We propose a new matrix theory based on

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{non-BPS D-instantons in type IIA,} \\ \text{D-instanton/anti-D-instanton system in type IIB.} \end{array} \right.$$

\Rightarrow We call it as K-matrix theory

2) Another classification of D-branes.

- The D-brane charge is defined by the behavior of RR-fields on the spacetime X . Therefore, D-branes should be naively classified by **cohomology**.

↓ **they have gauge theory on them.**

K-theory (refined cohomology theory).

- The D-brane worldvolume is naively thought of as a **homology** cycle in spacetime X .

↓ **it has gauge theory on it.**

K-homology (refined homology theory).

We propose that

D-branes are classified by the K-homology group.

- it is dual to the K-theory group.

Moreover, we see that

K-matrix theory is the natural framework for K-homology.

Outline

§1 Introduction

§2 K-matrix theory

- basic structure of the K-matrix theory
- configurations with finite action

§3 Spectral triples and D-branes

- geometric interpretation of the configurations
- physical interpretation of the configurations

§4 D-branes and K-homology

- D-branes embedded in spacetime
- analytic K-homology
- topological K-homology

§5 Conclusion and Discussion

§2 K-matrix theory

Type IIA K-matrix theory

The theory of N non-BPS D-instantons:

- gauge group is $U(N)$.
- the bosonic fields consist of
$$\begin{cases} \Phi^\mu \ (\mu = 0, \dots, 9) : \text{scalar fields} \\ T : \text{tachyon,} \end{cases}$$

which are self-adjoint (Hermitian) $N \times N$ matrices and belong to the adjoint repr.

In order to create arbitrary numbers of non-BPS D-instantons, we must take $N = \infty$.



We assume:

- \mathcal{H} : ∞ dim. separable Hilbert space.
- Φ^μ and T : linear operators acting on \mathcal{H} .

Note:

- There is a tachyon T .
 \Rightarrow matrix model + tachyon system.
- ${}^\forall \mathcal{H} \simeq l^2(\mathbb{N})$: the space of Chan-Paton indices.
 \Rightarrow we should also choose \mathcal{H} .
- We consider the bosonic part only.

The action is roughly given as

$$S \sim \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} \left(e^{-T^2} [\Phi^\mu, \Phi^\nu]^2 + e^{-T^2} [\Phi^\mu, T]^2 + e^{-T^2} + \dots \right),$$

which is estimated by

$$|S| \leq \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} e^{-T^2} \left(\| [\Phi^\mu, \Phi^\nu] \|^2 + \| [\Phi^\mu, T] \|^2 + 1 \right) + \dots.$$

Finite action configurations:

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} e^{-T^2} < \infty, \quad \| [\Phi^\mu, \Phi^\nu] \| < \infty, \quad \| [\Phi^\mu, T] \| < \infty.$$

\Downarrow

We deal with the configurations satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} [\Phi^\mu, \Phi^\nu], [\Phi^\mu, T] &\in \text{B}(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{for } \mu, \nu = 0, 1, \dots, 9, \\ (T - \lambda)^{-1} &\in \text{K}(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{for } \forall \lambda \notin \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

$\text{B}(\mathcal{H})$: the algebra of bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} .

$\text{K}(\mathcal{H})$: the set of compact operators on \mathcal{H} .

Note:

- $\text{K}(\mathcal{H}) \simeq M_\infty(\mathbb{C})$ (naive large N).
- The tachyon T is **not** a bounded operator.
 \Leftrightarrow eigenvalues of T^2 accumulate to the min. of the potential.

It is sometimes convenient to use

$$T_b = \frac{T}{\sqrt{1+T^2}} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$$

normalized such that $T_b^2 = 1$ is the min. of the potential.

\Downarrow

$$\begin{aligned} [\Phi^\mu, \Phi^\nu] &\in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \quad (\mu, \nu = 0, 1, \dots, 9), \\ T_b &\in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), \quad T_b^2 - 1 \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}), \quad [\Phi^\mu, T_b] \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}). \end{aligned}$$

Note:

An op. K on \mathcal{H} is said to be compact if it has an expansion

$$K = \sum_{n \geq 0} \mu_n |\psi_n\rangle \langle \phi_n|$$

with $\mu_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

where $\{\psi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\phi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are orthonormal sets.

Type IIB K-matrix theory

This is based on the D-instanton/anti-D-instanton system.

The theory of N D(-1) and M $\overline{\text{D}}(-1)$ has $U(N) \times U(M)$ gauge group and

$$\begin{cases} \Phi^\mu \in (\text{adj.}, 1) \ (\mu = 0, \dots, 9) : \text{scalar fields on D(-1)} \\ \overline{\Phi}^\mu \in (1, \text{adj.}) \ (\mu = 0, \dots, 9) : \text{scalar fields on } \overline{\text{D}}(-1) \\ T \in (N, M) : \text{a complex tachyon} \end{cases}$$

We take both $N = M = \infty$.

\Downarrow

- $\widehat{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H}^{(0)} \oplus \mathcal{H}^{(1)}$: the Chan-Paton Hilbert space.
- Φ^μ : operators acting on $\mathcal{H}^{(0)}$.
- $\overline{\Phi}^\mu$: operators acting on $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$.
- T : an operator from $\mathcal{H}^{(0)}$ to $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$.

Finite action configurations:

In terms of the normalized tachyon T_b , such that the min. of the potential is $T_b^* T_b = T_b T_b^* = 1$, and using matrix representation:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}^{(0)} \\ \mathcal{H}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \widehat{\Phi}^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi^\mu & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{\Phi}^\mu \end{pmatrix}, \quad \widehat{F} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T_b^* \\ T_b & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

\Downarrow

$$\begin{aligned} [\widehat{\Phi}^\mu, \widehat{\Phi}^\nu] &\in \text{B}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}) \quad (\mu, \nu = 0, 1, \dots, 9), \\ \widehat{F} &\in \text{B}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}), \quad \widehat{F}^2 - 1 \in \text{K}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}), \quad [\widehat{\Phi}^\mu, \widehat{F}] \in \text{K}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}). \end{aligned}$$

Chern-Simons terms

Chern-Simons terms for N non-BPS D-instantons:

$$S_{\text{CS}} = \text{SymTr}_N \text{Tr}_2 \left(\sigma^1 \text{Tr}_\psi \left(\widehat{C} e^{(-T^2 + \frac{1}{2}[\Phi^\mu, \Phi^\nu] \psi_2^\mu \psi_2^\nu + i[\Phi^\mu, T] \psi_2^\mu \sigma^1)} \right) \right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{C} &= \sum_n C_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}(\Phi) \psi_1^{\mu_1} \dots \psi_1^{\mu_n}, \\ C_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}(\Phi) &: \text{symmetric function in } \Phi^\mu \\ \{\psi_1^\mu, \psi_2^\nu\} &= \delta^{\mu\nu}, \quad \{\psi_1^\mu, \psi_1^\nu\} = \{\psi_2^\mu, \psi_2^\nu\} = 0. \\ &SO(10, 10) \text{ gamma matrices.} \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain the Chern-Simons term for the IIA K-matrix theory. (Tr_N is replaced by $\text{Tr}_\mathcal{H}$.)

It is estimated by

$$|S_{\text{CS}}| \leq \sum_n \frac{1}{n!} \| C_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}(\Phi) \| \text{Tr}_\mathcal{H} \left(e^{-T^2} \right) \times \prod_{\{\mu_k\}} \| [T, \Phi^{\mu_k}] \| \text{ or } \| [\Phi^{\mu_k}, \Phi^{\nu_l}] \| .$$

Thus the CS-term is finite if $\| C_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}(\Phi) \| < \infty$.

Basic example

BPS D(2m)-brane configuration:

$$\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2m+1}) \otimes S$$

where S : 2^m dim. $SO(2m+1)$ spinors.

$$T = u D = u \sum_{\alpha=0}^{2m} \widehat{p}_{\alpha} \otimes \gamma^{\alpha},$$

$$\Phi^{\alpha} = \widehat{x}^{\alpha} \otimes 1 \quad (\alpha = 0, \dots, 2m),$$

$$\Phi^i = 0 \quad (i = 2m+1, \dots, 9).$$

Inserting this into the CS-term,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{CS}} &= u^{2m+1} C_{01\dots 2m} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} \left(e^{-T^2} \right) \\ &= u^{2m+1} C_{01\dots 2m} 2^m \int d^{2m+1} k \langle k | e^{-u^2 k^2} | k \rangle \\ &= \mu_{2m} C_{01\dots 2m} \int d^{2m+1} x, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_{2m} = 1/(2^{m+1} \sqrt{\pi}^{2m+1})$: a numerical constant.

\Downarrow

- The tachyon T is a Dirac operator.
 $\Rightarrow \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} (e^{-T^2}) \propto \text{volume}.$
 \Rightarrow for noncompact space, we can relax $\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} (e^{-T^2}) < \infty.$
- The correct coupling between D(2m)-brane and RR (2m+1)-form.
 \Rightarrow BPS **commutative** D-branes are constructed.

§3 Spectral triples and D-branes

Extracting geometric information from $(\mathcal{H}, \{\Phi^\mu\}, T)$,

\Downarrow

Each configuration in the K-matrix theory defines a **spectral triple**, and interpreted as a **higher dim. D-brane**.

Geometry of Φ^μ

Let $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} = \{\Phi^\mu\}$ be the algebra generated by the operators Φ^μ ($\mu = 0, \dots, 9$) for a fixed configuration.

$[\Phi^\mu, \Phi^\nu], C(\Phi) \in B(\mathcal{H})$

\Rightarrow We can assume that $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ is a C^* -algebra.

Def: a C^* -algebra is a norm closed self-adjoint subalgebra of the bounded operator algebra $B(\mathcal{H})$.

If Φ^μ ($\mu = 0, 1, \dots, 9$) are mutually commuting operators, $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ is a commutative C^* -algebra.

Example:

- If $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ is the algebra generated by $\Phi^\mu = \widehat{x}^\mu$ ($\mu = 0, \dots, n$) with a relation $\sum_{\mu=0}^n (\Phi^\mu)^2 = R^2$, then $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} = C(S^n)$.
- $\Phi^\mu = \widehat{x}^\mu$ ($\mu = 0, \dots, n$) and if all elements vanish at infinity, then $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} = C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Recall the correspondence between space and algebra.

- A **space** $M \longleftrightarrow$ an **algebra** $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} = C_0(M)$.
- A **point** $p \in M \longleftrightarrow$ a **character** ϕ_p of $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$.

In our case $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} = \{\Phi^\mu\}$,

- The character ϕ_p is determined by $\phi_p(\Phi^\mu)$, which is given by picking up one spectrum of Φ^μ .
 \Rightarrow a point p is given by $\phi_p(\vec{\Phi}) = (\phi_p(\Phi^0), \dots, \phi_p(\Phi^9))$.
 \Rightarrow This agrees with the standard interpretation that the eigenvalues of the matrix Φ^μ represents the position of the non-BPS D-instantons.
- The whole set of spectrum of $(\Phi^0, \Phi^1, \dots, \Phi^9)$ should agree with some space M (i.e. a set of ∞ number of points).
 $\Rightarrow M$ is interpreted as the world-volume of higher dimensional D-branes made from infinite number of non-BPS D-instantons.

If $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} = \{\Phi^\mu\}$ is noncommutative, corresponding space becomes noncommutative.

Geometry of T

Def: A **spectral triple** is a triple $(\mathcal{H}, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}, T)$, where

- \mathcal{H} : a Hilbert space.
- $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$: a C^* -algebra acting on \mathcal{H} .
- T : a self-adjoint operator on \mathcal{H} , satisfying

$$(T - \lambda)^{-1} \in K(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{for } \forall \lambda \notin \mathbb{R}, \quad [\widehat{a}, T] \in B(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{for } \forall \widehat{a} \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}.$$

This agrees with the configuration of the K-matrix theory.

- \mathcal{H} : the Chan-Paton Hilbert space.
- $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} = \{\Phi^\mu\}$.
- T : (unbounded) tachyon.

Note: A spectral triple is the basic ingredient for noncommutative geometry. In particular, T carries the additional information, metric and gauge field etc. on $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$.

Canonical triples

$$(\mathcal{H}, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}, T) = (L^2(M, S), C^\infty(M), D)$$

- M : a closed Riemannian spin manifold,
- $L^2(M, S)$: the Hilbert space of square integrable sections of the spinor bundle S on M ,
- D : the Dirac operator associated with the Levi-Civita connection on S .

Mertic aspects

In general, the **distance** between two states is

$$d(\phi_1, \phi_2) = \sup_{a \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}} \{ |\phi_1(a) - \phi_2(a)| \mid \| [T, a] \| \leq 1 \},$$

where states ϕ_i ($i = 1, 2$) are linear functions $\phi_i : \widehat{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\phi_i(a^*a) \geq 0$ for $\forall a \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ and normalized as $\phi_i(1) = 1$.

\Rightarrow agrees with the geodesic distance for the canonical triple.

\Rightarrow roughly, **$ds \approx 1/|T|$** .

More explicitly, for the canonical triple, the heat kernel expansion gives

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} \left(e^{-tT^2} \right) \sim \frac{2^{[n/2]}}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} \int_M d^n x \sqrt{g} \left(1 + \frac{t}{12} R + O(t^2) \right),$$

\Rightarrow we can measure the volume of M .

Note: the metric here is not the induced metric from the background, but the worldvolume metric.

Dimension

The dimension spectrum is defined by a subset $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{C}$ of the singularities of the analytic function

$$\zeta_T(z) = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}(|T|^{-z}).$$

\Rightarrow gives the dimension n for the canonical triple.

Diffeomorphism

In general, the automorphism of $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ generated by unitary operators $U(\mathcal{H})$ in $B(\mathcal{H})$ can be interpreted as

$$\text{Aut}(\widehat{\mathcal{A}}) = \{\text{local gauge transf.}\} \times \{\text{diffeo.}\}.$$

A curved $D(2m)$ -brane:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^\mu &= f^\mu(\hat{x}^i) \quad (i = 0, \dots, 2m) \\ T &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \gamma^a e_a^i(\hat{x}), \left(\hat{p}_i + w_i^{ab}(\hat{x}) \gamma_{ab} \right) \right\},\end{aligned}$$

where $[\hat{x}^i, \hat{x}^j] = 0$, $[\hat{x}^i, \hat{p}_j] = i\delta_j^i$ and $\{\gamma^a, \gamma^b\} = 2\eta^{ab}$, and

- $f^\mu(\hat{x})$: embedding function,
- $e_a^i(\hat{x})$: vielbein,
- $w_i^{ab}(\hat{x})$: spin connection.

The unitary operators $u_d = \exp(i\frac{1}{2}\{\hat{p}_i, \epsilon^i(\hat{x})\})$ corresponds to the diffeomorphism **of the world-volume**.

For infinitesimal trf. $\hat{y}^i = \hat{x}^i + \epsilon^i(\hat{x})$,

$$\begin{aligned}u_d \Phi^\mu u_d^{-1} &\sim f^\mu(\hat{y}^i), \\ u_d T u_d^{-1} &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \gamma^a e'^i_a(\hat{y}^i), \left(\hat{p}'_i + w'^{ab}_i(\hat{y}^i) \gamma_{ab} \right) \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Inserting them into the K-matrix action, we obtain a Polyakov type action

$$S \sim \int dx^{2m+1} \sqrt{g} \left(1 + 2 \log 2 G_{\mu\nu} \partial_i f^\mu \partial_j f^\nu g^{ij} + \dots \right),$$

where $g^{ij} = e_a^i \eta^{ab} e_b^j$ is the world-volume metric and $G_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$ is the background metric.

\Rightarrow invariant under the diffeo.

§4 D-branes and K-homology

Embedding of D-branes

We fix a **spacetime manifold** X
and consider a D-brane **world-volume** M embedded in X .
 \Rightarrow the inclusion $i : M \rightarrow X$ is a proper map.



In algebraic description,

$\mathcal{A} = C_0(X)$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} = C_0(M)$: the corresponding algebras.
A $*$ -homomorphism $i^* : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{A}} \simeq \mathcal{A}/J_M$.
 \Rightarrow generalized to the noncommutative cases.

- \mathcal{A} : C^* -algebra of the fixed **spacetime manifold**, which could be noncommutative.
- $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$: a $*$ -homomorphism
 $\Rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{A}} = \text{Image } \phi \cong \mathcal{A}/\ker \phi$ gives the **world-volume** of the D-brane embedded in \mathcal{A} .

Note: We do not apriori have the notion of spacetime in matrix theory.

Example: commutative D-branes embedded in \mathbb{R}^{10}
 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = C_0(\mathbb{R}^{10})$ and consider $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} = \{\Phi^\mu = \phi(x^\mu)\}$.

Analytic K-homology

Def: A **odd Fredholm module** over \mathcal{A} is a triple (\mathcal{H}, ϕ, F) , where

- \mathcal{H} is a separable Hilbert space,
- $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ is a $*$ -homomorphism,
- F is a self adjoint operator in $B(\mathcal{H})$, which satisfies

$$F^2 - 1 \in K(\mathcal{H}), \quad [F, \phi(a)] \in K(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{for } \forall a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Note: The direct sum $(\mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}_1, \phi_0 \oplus \phi_1, F_0 \oplus F_1)$ is again a Fredholm module.

A Fredholm module (\mathcal{H}, ϕ, F) describes a configurations of the IIA K-matrix theory, the D-branes embedded in the fixed space-time algebra \mathcal{A} .

- \mathcal{H} : the Chan-Paton Hilbert space.
- ϕ specifies the world-volume $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} = \text{Image } \phi$.
- F : the normalized tachyon T_b .

\Downarrow

the classification of the D-brane configurations
= the classification of the Fredholm modules.

Def: **K-homology** $K^1(\mathcal{A})$ is defined by

$$K^1(\mathcal{A}) = \{(\mathcal{H}, \phi, F) : \text{odd Fredholm module}\} / \sim .$$

The equivalence relation \sim is generated by

(a) unitary equivalence:

$(\mathcal{H}_i, \phi_i, F_i)$ ($i = 0, 1$) are unitary equivalent if there is a unitary operator in $B(\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}_1)$ intertwining ϕ_i and F_i .

(b) operator homotopy:

They are operator homotopic if $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{H}_1$, $\phi_0 = \phi_1$ and there is a norm continuous path between F_0 and F_1 .

(c) addition of a degenerate Fredholm module:

which satisfy $F^2 - 1 = [F, \phi(a)] = 0$.

Physical interpretations

(a) the gauge equivalence of Fredholm modules.

(b) the continuous deformation of the tachyon configuration.

(c) the addition of non-BPS D-instantons that would be annihilated by the tachyon condensation.

The K-homology $K^1(\mathcal{A})$ classifies the D-brane configurations in the IIA K-matrix theory.

The K-homology which classifies the D-brane configurations in the **IIB** K-matrix theory is $K^0(\mathcal{A})$.

Def: An **even** Fredholm module over \mathcal{A} is a triple $(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}, \widehat{\phi}, \widehat{F})$, where

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}^{(0)} \\ \mathcal{H}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \widehat{\phi} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \widehat{F} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F^* \\ F & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

- $\mathcal{H}^{(i)}$ are separable Hilbert spaces ($i = 0, 1$),
- $\phi_i : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}^{(i)})$ are *-homomorphisms ($i = 0, 1$),
- $\widehat{F} \in B(\widehat{\mathcal{H}})$ satisfies

$$\widehat{F}^2 - 1 \in K(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}), \quad [\widehat{\phi}(a), \widehat{F}] \in K(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}), \quad \text{for } \forall a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

A Fredholm module $(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}, \widehat{\phi}, \widehat{F})$ describes a configurations of the **IIB** K-matrix theory.

Def: **K-homology** $K^0(\mathcal{A})$ is defined by

$$K^0(\mathcal{A}) = \{(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}, \widehat{\phi}, \widehat{F}) : \text{even Fredholm module}\} / \sim .$$

K-homology vs K-theory

Note: For $\mathcal{A} = C_0(X)$: commutative, we also denote

$$\begin{aligned} K^i(C_0(X)) &= K_i(X) & : & \text{K-homology,} \\ K_i(C_0(X)) &= K^i(X) & : & \text{K-theory.} \end{aligned}$$

Example: $\mathcal{A} = C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$K_0(\mathbb{R}^n) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & (n : \text{even}) \\ 0 & (n : \text{odd}), \end{cases} \quad K_1(\mathbb{R}^n) = \begin{cases} 0 & (n : \text{even}) \\ \mathbb{Z} & (n : \text{odd}). \end{cases}$$

\Downarrow

Flat D p -brane is classified by $K_1(\mathbb{R}^{p+1})$ ($K_0(\mathbb{R}^{p+1})$) in the IIA (IIB) K-matrix theory.

In K-theory, flat D p -brane charges are classified by $K^i(\mathbb{R}^{9-p})$.
 \mathbb{R}^{9-p} is Poincaré-dual to \mathbb{R}^{p+1} in the space-time \mathbb{R}^{10} .

This comes from

- **K-homology** of X classifies the **world-volume** M of the D-brane embedded in the space-time manifold X .
- **K-theory** of X classifies the **D-brane charge** defined by RR-fields on the space transverse to M in X .

In general, for a n -dimensional compact manifold X ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{K-homology} & & \text{K-theory} \\
 K_i(X) & \simeq & K^{n-i}(X) \quad : \quad \text{K-dual} \\
 \updownarrow & & \updownarrow \\
 H_i(X; \mathbb{Z}) & \simeq & H^{n-i}(X; \mathbb{Z}) \quad : \quad \text{Poincaré dual} \\
 \text{homology} & & \text{cohomology}
 \end{array}$$

Physical interpretation: In type IIA ($i = 1$),

- $K_1(X)$ classifies the D-brane constructed by non-BPS D-instanton system.
- $K^{n-1}(X)$ classifies the D-brane constructed by non-BPS $D(n-1)$ -brane system (n : even), or $D(n-1)$ -brane - anti $D(n-1)$ -brane system (n : odd).

The spectrum of the D-branes should not depend on how they are constructed, and hence $K_1(X) \simeq K^{n-1}(X)$.

Topological K-homology

When the algebra \mathcal{A} is commutative, we have a topological definition of K-homology.

Def: An even(odd) **K-cycle** on X is defined to be a triple (M, E, φ) , where

- M is an even(odd) dim. closed Spin^c manifold.
- E is a complex vector bundle on M .
- φ is a continuous map from M to X .

Note: the disjoint union $(M_0, E_0, \varphi_0) \cup (M_1, E_1, \varphi_1)$ is again a K-cycle.

Physical interpretation

K-cycle (M, E, φ) gives the world-volume geometry of the D-brane (massless modes of open strings):

- M : the world-volume of the D-brane.
- E : Chan-Paton gauge bundle E on M (gauge field).
- φ : the embedding of the D-brane to the space-time X (transverse scalar fields).

Def: The **topological K-homology** $K_*^{top}(X)$ is defined by

$$K_0^{top}(X) = \{(M, E, \varphi) : \text{even K-cycle}\} / \sim .$$
$$K_1^{top}(X) = \{(M, E, \varphi) : \text{odd K-cycle}\} / \sim .$$

Note: $\{(M, \varphi)\} / \sim \Rightarrow$ ordinary homology.

The equivalence relation \sim is generated by

(a) Bordism :

$(M_0, E_0, \varphi_0) \sim (M_1, E_1, \varphi_1)$, if $\exists (W, E, \varphi)$ s.t.
 $(\partial W, E|_{\partial W}, \varphi|_{\partial W}) \simeq (M_0, E_0, \varphi_0) \cup (-M_1, E_1, \varphi_1)$.
Here $-M_1$ denotes M_1 with the reversed Spin^c str..

(b) Direct sum:

$(M, E_1 \oplus E_2, \varphi) \sim (M, E_1, \varphi) \cup (M, E_2, \varphi)$.

(c) Vector bundle modification:

$(M, E, \varphi) \sim (\widehat{M}, \widehat{H} \otimes \rho^*(E), \varphi \circ \rho)$, where

- $\rho : \widehat{M} \rightarrow M$: a sphere bundle on M
with fiber $S_p = \rho^{-1}(p)$, even dim. sphere.
- \widehat{H} : a vector bundle on \widehat{M} , such that the restriction $\widehat{H}|_{S_p}$ is the generator of $\widetilde{K}(S_p) = \mathbb{Z}$.

Physical interpretation

- (a) the deformations of the world-volume of the D-brane.
- (b) the gauge symmetry enhancement for coincident D-branes.
- (c) the descent relation of the D-branes:
{a spherical D-brane with a non-trivial gauge bundle}
 \sim {a lower dimensional D-brane}.

The topological K-homology $K_1^{top}(X)$ ($K_0^{top}(X)$) classifies the stable D-brane configurations in type IIA (IIB) string theory.

Isomorphism

The topological K-homology is isomorphic to the analytic K-homology:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_i : K_i^{top}(X) &\xrightarrow{\sim} K_i(X) \quad (i = 0, 1) \\ (M, E, \varphi) &\mapsto (\mathcal{H}, \phi, D)\end{aligned}$$

Let $(M, E, \varphi) \in K_1^{top}(X)$. Since M is an odd dimensional closed Spin^c manifold, we can define a spin bundle S on M .

- $\mathcal{H} = L^2(M, S \otimes E)$: the space of L^2 -section of the vector bundle $S \otimes E$.
- We can define a Dirac operator D on \mathcal{H} by choosing a connection on the bundle $S \otimes E$ as usual.
- $\phi : C(X) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ is defined by the multiplication of the function $\phi(f(x)) \equiv f(\varphi(x))$ for $f(x) \in C(X)$.
($\Leftrightarrow \text{Image } \phi = C(M)$.)

Note: This is a genralization of the canonical triple, including gauge fields.

We can always obtain a configuration in the K-matrix theory corresponding to a conventional world-volume description of the D-brane.

Chern character and Chern-Simons terms

There is a Chern-character map from the K-homology group to the ordinary homology group:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{ch.} &: K_0(X) \rightarrow H_{\text{even}}(X; \mathbb{Q}), \\ \text{ch.} &: K_1(X) \rightarrow H_{\text{odd}}(X; \mathbb{Q}).\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{ch.}(M, E, \varphi) = \varphi_*(\text{ch}(E) \cup \text{Td}(TM) \cap [M]).$$

The coupling of homology to RR-fields are as usual

$$\begin{aligned}S_{\text{CS}} &= \int_{\text{ch.}(M, E, \varphi)} C \\ &= \int_M \varphi^* C \wedge \text{ch}(E) \wedge \text{Td}(TM),\end{aligned}$$

where $C \in \Omega(X)$ is the formal sum of RR-fields.

\implies This agrees with the CS-term for a BPS D-brane.

§5 Conclusion and Discussion

Conclusion

- We proposed the K-matrix theory, based on non-BPS D-instantons in type IIA string theory and D-instanton/anti-D-instanton system in type IIB string theory.
- The configurations with finite action are identified with spectral triples, which are algebraic description of the geometry on the world-volume of higher dimensional D-branes.
- We claimed that the configurations in the K-matrix theory are classified by K-homology.
More generally, D-branes embedded in spacetime are classified by K-homology.

Omitted today

- KK-theory
- Boundary states

Discussion

- Chern-Simons term (Myers' term).
- Consistency of the theory as a quantum theory.
- K-matrix theory in general curved backgrounds.
An ad hoc resolution: embedding the manifold to a higher dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^N ($N \geq 10$).
- Relation between the closed string background and the space-time algebra we can choose.
- The appearance of the closed strings.
Unfortunately, K-homology is not powerful enough to classify the fundamental strings and NS5-branes.
- Applications to the formulation of M-theory.
- Relation to the description of D-branes as objects of the derived category of coherent sheaves.